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INTRODUCTION

Murrieta is a young and vibrant community ideally located at the junction of the I-15 and I-215 freeways. The close proximity to San Diego, Los Angeles and Orange County markets further enhances the City’s opportunities for economic development. Since incorporating in 1991, Murrieta has experienced an increase in population, making it one of the most-populous cities in Riverside County. Murrieta has been consistently recognized as one of the safest cities in the country.

With many vehicles traveling through Murrieta each day, Murrieta affords business opportunity to take charge of the future while providing its residents with an exception quality of life. While offering upscale yet affordable housing within a nationally recognized school district, the City represents a blend of dynamic growth amid the traditional values of a historic rural community dating back to the 1890’s. Murrieta boasts an educated, high-income population comparable to communities in San Diego and Orange Counties.

In order to compete locally, nationally, and globally, the City of Murrieta must strategically position itself through a variety of means. An important component to the future success for the City is working with the State of California and the U.S. federal government.

MURRIETA CITY COUNCIL
FY 2019/20 GOALS

✓ PROVIDE A HIGH LEVEL OF INNOVATIVE PUBLIC SAFETY
✓ AGGRESSIVELY PURSUE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
✓ MAINTAIN A HIGH PERFORMING ORGANIZATION THAT VALUES FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY, TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFICIENCY
✓ PLAN, PROGRAM AND CREATE INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
✓ COORDINATE AND DELIVER RESPONSIVE, EFFECTIVE COMMUNITY SERVICES
✓ FOSTER AND PROMOTE AN ENGAGED, CONNECTED AND CARING COMMUNITY
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Murrieta has its sights set high for the future. The local economy continues to grow, creating new economic opportunities for businesses and residents. As resources expand to accommodate the reality of new demands, the City Council believes fiscal prudence and quality job creation is paramount to the success of our community. Maintaining success is also dependent on the City’s ability to develop effective partnerships with state and federal representatives and its ability to engage in public policy discussions. Recognizing the importance of promoting priorities, the City develops a legislative program consistent with the City’s General Plan, City Council goals, and other strategic documents, which focuses on the overarching principles of prudent fiscal stability, protection of local control and transparent government. The purpose of this document is to create a plan that positions the City to be able to take advantage of funding opportunities and provide input into legislation in both Washington, DC and Sacramento. To that end, the Legislative Program provides the intergovernmental approach to implement the political and legislative game plan of the City.

This Legislative Program is presented in three parts. The first section contains the City’s priority project list. These vital projects need the attention of federal and state government. The second section is the City’s Legislative Platform (Platform). The Platform is the Murrieta City Council’s declared policies. The Platform sets forth the City’s legislative objectives for the 2020 legislative sessions, and provides direction for the City’s legislative representatives and advocates as they work to secure strategic initiatives in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. It is intended to articulate the City’s positions on issues that are currently or are anticipated to be, the focus of future legislation by the state or federal governments. The Platform streamlines the City’s advocating efforts by enabling City staff to take immediate action on pressing legislative items efficiently and without delay under City Council direction. The purpose of the Platform is to further the adopted goals and objectives of the City Council by providing direction and guidance to City officials and staff. The last section, noted by “Attachment A” and “Attachment B” contains assessments of the state and federal government, the political landscape, and anticipated issues and challenges of particular concern to local government.

Together, these pieces make the City’s Legislative Program. Established within the Program is the protocol that determines the level of engagement the City Council wishes to commit to accomplish its legislative goals. The protocol develops a long-term strategy to seek federal and state appropriations and gives the City’s ad-hoc legislative workgroup a coordinated plan for advancing projects. With the dynamic growth of the City in recent years and planned development in the future, the City’s Legislative Program is aimed at securing legislative policies and resources that will help the City manage this growth, fund critical infrastructure needs, keep the City safe and maintain and enhance the quality of life residents have come to enjoy.
PRIORITY LIST

Through the City’s Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), major projects are planned for a period of five years. The Priority List below includes important projects of regional significance listed within the CIP that do not have sufficient funding. These projects are in various stages of planning and/or construction, and could provide immediate job creation opportunities if funding were made available. The list is in order of priority.

KELLER ROAD INTERCHANGE ON I-215

Total cost: $47 million  Status: Environmental analysis and documentation
Funds committed: $18.4 million

The Keller Road/I-215 Interchange project is located in northern Murrieta, just south of the City of Menifee, and proposes north and southbound on and off-ramps from the I-215 freeway to Keller Road. Keller Road currently exists as a two-lane underpass on I-215. Once the interchange is constructed, the ramps will provide improved access to current and planned hotel accommodations, medical offices, and research and development operations.

MURRIETA CREEK

Total cost: $117 million  Status: Phase II A in construction
City committed funding: $15 million (Ivy and Guava bridges)

Murrieta Creek poses a severe flood threat to the cities of Murrieta and Temecula, where overflow flooding from this undersized creek has periodically wreaked havoc, most recently in 1993 when flood-related damages cost nearly $20 million. In 2014, a unique funding opportunity called “acceleration” was approved that allows the Riverside County Flood Control District to front the local share of funding for the project to begin construction of phase II. Phase II was divided into two phases. Funding for the completion of Phase II, III and IV will continue to be the highest priority. This collaborative project between the Corps of Engineers, the County of Riverside, and the cities of Murrieta and Temecula is estimated to total over $100 million. When complete, it will provide flood control between the two cities and serve as the lynchpin for regional economic development.

NORTH MURRIETA TECHNOLOGY AND MEDICAL CORRIDOR

Warm Springs Parkway and Whitewood Improvements (2 simultaneous projects)

Total cost: $28.3 million  Status: Whitewood – Completed Fall 2017
City committed funding: $8.3 million  Warm Springs Parkway – Future Project

At build-out, the North Murrieta Technology and Medical Corridor will feature two regional hospitals, a state-of-the-art rehabilitation center for post-acute care, and numerous biotechnology companies with a private sector investment nearing $1 billion. In an effect to provide increased accessibility to the hospitals while constructing the infrastructure improvements to attract national and international companies, the City will need to construct the Keller Road Interchange/I-215 (above), realign the existing Antelope Road/Warm Springs Parkway, and design/construct full width improvements on Whitewood Road. Once complete, this area will be the largest technology and medical corridor in Riverside County. Whitewood Road construction is completed and now provides a vital north – south corridor between Murrieta Hot Springs Road and Keller Road. Warm Springs Parkway construction will start at Clinton Keith Road in 2020 with remaining portions of the roadway to be constructed when funding is available.
2020 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM

The 2020 Legislative Platform reflects the Murrieta City Council’s declared policy positions. The purpose of the platform is to further the adopted goals and objectives of the City Council by providing direction and guidance to City officials and staff while considering legislation pending at the state and federal levels. The platform will enable City staff to efficiently affect legislative bills based on the overarching principles of prudent fiscal stability, protection of local control and transparent government.

1. General Government:
   a. Local Control: Oppose legislation which preempts local control including, but not limited to, land-use planning, formation of a charter city and local public meeting procedures. Support legislation which provides greater local control, including but not limited to, reorganization of special districts, labor relations, city council vacancies, and interagency cooperation.
   b. Workers’ Compensation: Oppose legislation which would increase employee benefits without system reforms which would offset increased employer costs.
   c. Unemployment Insurance: Oppose legislation which would increase employer liability for unemployment compensation, or which would reduce local discretion to manage this risk.
   d. Presumptive Eligibility for Disability: Oppose legislation which would provide presumptive eligibility for the award of benefits for Workers’ Compensation or other employee health benefits.
   e. Abandoned Vehicles: Oppose legislation which would eliminate abandoned vehicle programs and a local government’s ability to immediately remove abandoned vehicles.
   f. Eminent Domain: Oppose legislation that would further restrict the use of eminent domain to acquire land not zoned for residential use for redevelopment purposes.
   g. Vehicle Sales on Public Streets: Support legislation that would provide local jurisdictions with increased authority to regulate the sale of automobiles on public streets.
   h. Mortgage Lenders: Support legislation that would require mortgage lenders to adopt a uniform tracking method for mortgage instruments which enables local government to reliably locate owners of title.
   i. Tax Increment Funding: Support legislation that would support new financing tools and programs that would encourage economic development through tax increment financing.
   j. Community Block Grant Funding: Oppose legislation to decrease Federal Community Block Grant funding.
   k. Storm Water Fees: Support legislation that would include storm water fees among the types of fees exempt from voting requirements imposed by Proposition 218.
   l. Accountability And Transparency: support legislation to hold the State of California and state agencies to the same transparency and accountability standards as cities.
   m. Design-Build: Support legislation that provides authority to general law cities to utilize the design-build process for public works construction projects and other city Capital Improvement Projects.
   n. Public Noticing: Support legislation promoting alternative and electronic posting and noticing of public hearings and meetings, including online posting.
   o. Tort Reform: Support tort reform efforts specific to ending frivolous lawsuits aimed at municipalities.
2. **Immigration:** Call upon the federal government to cast off partisan rhetoric and demonstration leadership by definitively solving the immigration crisis that has adversely impacted local jurisdictions, especially the City of Murrieta. Enactment of a comprehensive, cohesive immigration policy shall:

   a. Recognize that people are going to attempt to enter the United States of America, risking life and property, because of the opportunity that has been historically achieved by all races and nationalities;

   b. Recognize the Rule of Law, and the processes that have been established, by the various federal government agencies, and does not establish an automatic amnesty program, but instead seeks to promote education and the advancement of mankind in general as an obligation of a civilized society;

   c. Provide a consistent, humanitarian mechanism to those that want to integrate into our country and swear an oath of allegiance;

   d. Is based on self-evident principles that all men are created equal, that they endeavor to prosper, and that a responsible citizenship the keystone to our Republic.

3. **Local Government Finance:**

   a. Oppose any measure that would make local agencies more dependent on the State for financial stability and policy direction.

   b. Oppose all realignment efforts by the State when the full and necessary funding is not appropriated in advance.

   c. Oppose measures that would impose State and Federal mandated costs for which there is no guarantee of local reimbursement or offsetting benefits (i.e., unfunded mandates).

   d. Oppose any measure that restricts or limits a public entity’s ability to use tax-exempt debt or tax increment financing for the purchase or construction of public purpose improvements.

   e. Support efforts which make funds to support public facilities more available to local municipalities including, but not limited to libraries and open space.

   f. Oppose any measure that would divert local funds to the State, or any other entity, to balance its budget.

   g. Oppose efforts that either increase the City’s liability or decrease outside parties’ liability concerning municipal finances.

   h. Oppose any change in tax allocations, which would negatively affect local government.

   i. Support legislation to stabilize State and local government financing, to increase funding to local agencies in an equitable manner, and to permit the most cost-efficient management of state-mandated programs.

   j. Support a statutory or constitutional redistribution of property tax revenues which more equitably reflects local government responsibilities.

   k. Support legislation to improve payments-in-lieu revenue to local governments that have the responsibility to provide local government services for State and county facilities.

   l. Oppose legislation which would reduce local discretion over locally-imposed taxes such as transient occupancy tax.
m. Support Federal legislation which would require states to distribute Federal pass-through funds in an equitable manner to local subdivisions of the State.

n. Oppose legislation which would restrict or eliminate the availability of traditional government financing instruments or practices.

o. Support legislation that would redistribute State and Federal revenues to better meet local government responsibilities.

p. Support any measure that would provide greater local control over how local funds are expended.

q. Support legislation that would protect or propose any constitutional amendment that provides a guarantee for local government finances.

r. Support legislation that would reform or increase transparency and accountability into the legislative appropriations process.

s. Support legislation that would remove the barrier to use in-house staff for public works construction contracts over $5,000.

t. Oppose any attempts or measures that seek to weaken or reverse Proposition 13, Proposition 187, or other Constitutional provisions that strengthen taxpayer protections, or whose purpose is to raise tax revenues at the expense of the residential or business community.

u. Oppose any legislation in regards to short-term residential rentals that creates a cost burden to local government services.

v. Oppose legislation that limits power of local government to negotiate indemnification protection in public contracts.

w. Oppose legislation that would prohibit, or limit, local governments’ ability to contract out for the provision of services.

4. **Economic Development:**

a. Support legislation that supports local businesses and industry, including measures that remove barriers allowing local industries to sell goods and services internationally (i.e., free trade agreements).

b. Support additional efforts to provide local governments with the tools necessary for economic development.

c. Support legislation and efforts to re-establish tax increment financing and other economic development tools.

d. Support military installations located within Riverside County for the economic, public safety and training benefits they provide.

e. Support all attempts to bring higher education opportunities to the region.

5. **Labor Relations:**

a. Oppose any measure that imposes upon local government mandated employee benefits that are more properly decided at the local level.
b. Support legislation modifying the Fair Labor Standards Act to permit adjustable work schedules to meet the needs of management and labor and to eliminate prospective and retroactive overtime payments.

c. Oppose legislation that imposes further restrictions on local jurisdictions (or adds additional burdens) on employer/employee negotiations.

6. **Transportation:**

a. Call upon the federal government to fix the outdated, inefficient and insolvent highway trust fund.

b. Support measures that provide local and regional funding opportunities for local transportation and system projects.

c. Support legislation that provides for a more equitable distribution of federal and state highway funds that considers population growth as well as location of sales and gas tax generation.

d. Oppose measures that attempt to raise federal and/or state revenues for transportation funding through new or increased taxes or fees. For instance, oppose any measure that seeks to implement a vehicle-miles-traveled tax/fee in addition to current gas taxes, rather than supplanting current formulas.

7. **Land Use Planning:**

a. Support efforts to strengthen the legal and fiscal capability of local agencies to prepare, adopt and implement fiscal plans for orderly growth, development, beautification and conservation of local planning areas, including, but not limited to, the regulatory authority over zoning, subdivisions, annexations, and redevelopment areas.

b. Support efforts which are consistent with the doctrine of “home rule” and the local exercise of police powers, through planning and zoning processes, over local land use.

c. Support legislation that would provide additional resources, including adequate funding, to local agencies to address regional growth issues such as air quality, water quality, air pollution, transportation corridors, and homelessness.

d. Support legislation that would authorize local agencies to establish regional authorities to address growth management issues on a voluntary basis.

e. Oppose legislation that would require local governments to compensate property owners for the effects of zoning and ordinary local land use control.

f. Support legislation that would give the City increased control over congregate care facilities, such as group homes.

g. Oppose legislation that penalizes a city if units identified in the Regional Housing Need Assessment (RHNA) are not constructed.

h. Oppose legislation, regulatory proposals, or administrative actions that limit or eliminate local discretionary review of the installation of small cell wireless equipment or any wireless technology facilities on public infrastructure or in the public right of way.

8. **Housing:**

a. Support efforts to develop Federal and State participation and financial support for creative programs to provide adequate, affordable housing (home ownership, rental opportunities, rehabilitation and
homeless assistance) for the elderly, handicapped, public sector employees, and low-income persons throughout the community.

b. Support efforts that increase the City’s ability to reasonably oversee the location of community care facilities.

c. Support clean up legislation to increase financial thresholds that trigger prevailing wage requirements.

d. Support efforts to increase the maximum home sale price allowed under all federal and state home ownership funded programs.

e. Support legislation that would eliminate the prevailing wage requirement on low income housing.

9. Law Enforcement and Judicial:

a. Support efforts which strengthen local law enforcement including, but not limited to the regulation of sales or manufacturing of dangerous drugs; prevention of driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol; criminal gang activity; the sharing of criminal history information between states; registration of sex offenders; the provision of a greater share of seized assets to localities; cost recovery from the guilty party when alcohol or drugs are present; increased sentences for criminals involved in activities such as violence, gang, narcotics, or repeated offenses; and the increase of county jail and State prison capacities, as well as new prison construction.

b. Oppose legislation that would impede local law enforcement from addressing crime problems and recovering costs resulting from a crime committed by the guilty party.

c. Support legislation that establishes greater City Council latitude in setting speed limits.

d. Support legislation to vigorously oppose the early release of prisoners.

e. Support measures to increase the capacity of the judicial branch in Riverside County to address the shortage of judges and staff, and implement programs and incentives to retain experienced judges.

f. Support efforts to develop, implement, and improve a federal worker eligibility verification process to ensure eligible employees are hired by businesses in the most efficient manner possible. Additionally, support legislation providing administrative authority to local jurisdictions to allow, as a condition of issuing a business license, a mandatory worker eligibility verification process.

g. Support legislation that would provide added security measures to protect residents against terrorist activity, including making funding available for improved equipment and increased personnel costs.

h. Support legislation that limits the issuance of alcoholic beverage licenses in areas of high crime or where excessive numbers of licenses exist.

i. Support action that rectifies the federal government’s position on medical and recreational marijuana, the state government’s position, and previous voter approved initiatives. Without clear guidance and action, local jurisdictions continue to be stuck in a complex web of litigation with diminutive local control.

j. Support legislative efforts to address the negative impacts of AB 109, Proposition 47, and Proposition 57 on local governments and provide local law enforcement with the appropriate tools to reduce criminal activity.

k. Oppose legislation that would decrease funding to the various Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) programs.
10. **Fire Service:**

   a. Support legislation which increases home rule in adopting Fire and Life Safety Codes.

   b. Support legislation that provides funding or home rule provisions to previously approved fire or EMS legislation or propositions (such as funding for Murrieta through Prop 172 funds and transportation rights to cities incorporated after 1980).

   c. Support efforts which strengthen local fire and life safety services, including providing for greater local control over emergency medical and ambulance services to ensure the residents of Murrieta receive the highest possible level of emergency care.

   d. Oppose legislation that restricts local authority jurisdiction over the enforcement of fire and life safety regulations.

   e. Support legislation that provides funding for terrorism response training, with broad interpretations of training curriculums.

   f. Support legislation that provides funding assistance to local agencies to increase firefighter staffing levels.

   g. Oppose legislation that would decrease funding for the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program within the Department of Homeland Security.

   h. Support legislation that promotes national fire code development using an open, consensus-based process.

   i. Support legislation that promotes the installation of fire sprinklers in new non-residential buildings.

   j. Support legislation that requires maintenance of defensible space in wildfire-prone areas.

   k. Support regional efforts to improve interoperability of voice and data communications equipment.

   l. Support measures that provide funding to local agencies for training, effective disaster preparedness, homeland security, and emergency planning.

11. **Social Service Programs:**

   a. Support legislation providing an equitable distribution of State and Federal program resources to prevent and treat alcohol and drug abuse.

   b. Encourage and support the implementation of regional social service programs and multiple task force groups on the issues of bullying, autism and other matters where greater attention has not yet been achieved but impacts the region as a whole. Additionally, support comprehensive approaches to social services where solutions include collaborative partnerships between parents, children, educational and governmental participation.

   c. Oppose all efforts of social service and program realignment where funding has not been fully appropriated.

   d. Support legislation that addresses the complex issues of homelessness from a regional perspective that employ best practice strategies to reduce the number of people experiencing homelessness by: preventing homelessness of those at risk; providing emergency and supportive housing; expanding affordable permanent housing; and promoting self-empowerment through counseling, job training, and other supportive services.
12. **Resource Conservation and Management:**
   a. Support measures which increase the water supply or improve water quality within the region, such as new desalinization plants.
   b. Support efforts that encourage the use of energy and water conservation techniques, as long as those measures do not contain unnecessary punitive measures to residents, business owners and local agencies.
   c. Support legislation that would protect consumers and small business owners and end excessive energy and water charges.
   d. Support legislation that enables the construction of energy producing facilities needed to meet the growing demand, in a timely manner.
   e. Support federal and state programs and legislation that encourage the use of commercially viable, new clean energy technology, if such clean technology has proven to be cost efficient.
   f. Support legislation promoting local control of siting waste treatment facilities, hazardous materials rules and regulations, and providing funds for site planning.
   g. Support measures which provide greater local control in meeting the environmental needs of the community, i.e., recycling/reclaiming of natural resources and energy efficiency measures.
   h. Oppose legislation that imposes undue hardship on local agencies to implement environmental regulations.
   i. Oppose measures to mandate extended producer responsibility or product stewardship legislation.
   j. Support regional Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) programs that provide private funding for energy and water retrofits.

13. **Parks and Recreation Programs:**
   a. Support legislation that provides adequate and consistent funding to support the acquisition, development, and operation and maintenance requirements of recreation, parks and community services agencies at the local, State, and Federal levels.
   b. Support legislation that protects or enhances the current interests in a variety of areas including the Subdivision Map Act, the Naylor Act, and tort liability. Also support legislation that enhances funding through programs such as the Roberti-Z’Berg-Harris Fund and the Land and Water Conservation Fund.
   c. Support legislation that provides funding to support public art, both performing and visual.
   d. Support legislation that provides funding for, or otherwise assists, the preservation of historical sites and buildings.
   e. Support legislation that provides funding or otherwise promotes programs to address and increase the level of physical activity among our community’s children.
14. **Regional and International Governance**

   a. Support legislation that reflects a positive, collective, and cohesive approach to regional solutions, as long as local control is maintained.

   b. Support efforts to encourage and promote sister-city relationships to maximize cultural and business partnerships via Memorandums of Understanding.
With over 2,600 bills introduced, the 2019 California Legislative Session ended with 1,042 approved bills, of which 172 were vetoed by the Governor. This was Governor Newsom’s first year in office, and with his inauguration came historic supermajorities for Democrats in both houses.

Early in the Legislative Session, the Governor’s first budget was introduced and like his predecessor, was a cautious budget. With a $21 billion surplus, the majority of the funds were socked away in savings for potential economic downturns to come. Good for local government was more than $2.5 billion for homeless relief and affordable housing. On the legislative front, despite multiple attacks on local authority, cities emerged largely unscathed in the end. Efforts to link allocation of local transportation street and road funds to housing production were rejected, and the initially controversial housing trailer bill was later approved in a much more workable form. Several aggressive housing bills were stalled for the year and many others were sidelined or amended. There were also considerable efforts from the law enforcement community to reach a compromise on the use of deadly force. Finally, bills undermining local parking authority and scooter regulation were also stopped.

Looking forward to 2020, a number of factors will influence the second year of the two-year legislative session. With 2020 being a presidential election year, a constant variable throughout the year will likely be the Trump Administration and the upcoming presidential election. Additionally, tight races up and down the state will likely influence members leniency on tight votes on the floors of the legislature.

ISSUES AND PROJECTIONS:
- Rising rents and home prices forced California’s housing crisis to lawmaker’s agenda in 2019. Expect more focus on housing issues at the Capitol in 2020, especially the return of SB 50 (Wiener).
- Lawmakers will build on efforts to address homelessness to help local agencies address the issue.
- Recent wildfires and public safety power shutoffs will continue to be part of ongoing hearings and likely the subject of significant legislation in 2020.
- Recreation marijuana became legal in California on January 1, 2018. The state already has many laws and regulations in place, but there are still a multitude of issues to address, especially banking and taxes.
ATTACHMENT B: ASSESSMENT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The 116th Congress brought divided government to Capitol Hill with Democrats taking control of the House of Representatives, leading to increased partisan tension between the House and Senate and the House and the White House.

Democrats immediately sought to make their own mark on legislation, passing a number of bills related to election reform, prescription drug reform, voting rights, equality issues, immigration reform, gun control, and climate change. Those bills, which have been introduced under bill numbers reserved for the Speaker of the House, have largely passed along party lines and have not moved in the Senate. For its part, the Senate has not focused on major legislation and has instead used the majority of its floor time to confirm President Trump’s nominees to the federal judiciary. As of November 6, 2019, the Senate had confirmed 158 judicial nominees, including two Supreme Court justices, 44 Circuit Court judges, and 112 District Court judges. Those nominees equate to nearly 25% of active judges on the United States Court of Appeals being appointed by President Trump.

While there have been few significant legislative accomplishments during the First Session of the 116th Congress, there have been glimmers of bipartisan cooperation, especially in the annual appropriations process. The Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA) set spending caps for a decade under a deal negotiated at the time to avoid a “fiscal cliff.” The plan called for across the board cuts to spending if an agreement could not be reached by a “supercommittee” made up of lawmakers from the House and Senate. The budget supercommittee failed to reach a consensus on cuts, which resulted in federal budget sequestration. For each of the years sequestration has been in effect, Congress has made adjustments to the caps to avoid drastic cuts in federal spending. The final two years to sequestration were set to be for fiscal years 2020 and 2021.

In the fall of 2019, Congress passed the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019, which raised the defense and domestic spending caps for the final two years covered by the BCA. Aside from dealing with major policy issues in appropriations bills, this agreement provided the House and Senate with concrete spending numbers to which they could mark appropriations bills. While the delay in passing the agreement prolonged action on Fiscal Year 2020 appropriations bills, the new topline is expected to aid the House and Senate in passing Fiscal Year 2021 appropriations bills earlier in the year.

Moving into the Second Session of the 116th Congress, Democrats will continue to control the House with a majority of 233 Democrats, 196 Republicans, and 1 Independent. Republicans will control the Senate with a 53 seats under their control, while Democrats have 45 members among their ranks and 2 Independents that caucus with Democrats.

The House of Representatives passed formal Articles of Impeachment. Once they are sent to the Senate, a trial could consume most of the floor time for a period of up to 5 or 6 weeks. Unlike the impeachment trial of former President Bill Clinton, an agreement has yet to be negotiated between Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) and Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY) on the parameters for a Senate trial.

Absent an agreement, the Senate would use its special rules for an impeachment trial, which could prolong a trial and see partisanship and simple majority votes deciding major procedural questions in the trial. The trial could also be expedited if there is cooperation, especially with the large number of Democratic Senators running to be President of the United States who will be off the campaign trail for up to 6 days per week during a trial.

Additionally, Congress will have a protracted DC work schedule during 2020 with the House announcing it will take a long October recess ahead of the November elections in addition to its traditional August break. These breaks, called state and district work periods, allow Members of Congress the opportunity to spend more time in their districts talking with constituents before the November elections.

In 2020, Congress will have a number of traditional authorization bills that will need legislative action, including the Transportation Reauthorization bill, which expires at the end of Fiscal Year 2020. Additionally, if Congress holds to the scheduled used over the last decade, the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) reauthorization will also be on the docket in 2020.
ISSUES AND PROJECTIONS:

- Transportation: The Senate Environment and Public Works Committee (EPW), Chaired by Senator John Barrasso (R-WY) has already begun work on the America’s Transportation Infrastructure Act of 2019, advancing the largest five-year transportation reauthorization bill in history. While the EPW Committee has advanced the legislation, additional Senate Committees will need to take up the legislation to determine how to pay for spending not covered by the Highway Trust Fund. The House has not yet started work on its own five-year transportation reauthorization bill.

- WRDA Reauthorization: Congress has historically authorized Army Corps of Engineers projects on a two-year cycle, meaning a reauthorization bill would be due in calendar year 2020.

- Immigration: The Supreme Court is expected to rule on protections for DACA recipients in June of 2020. Oral arguments have caused court observers to speculate that the Supreme Court may allow the administration to end the program created. President Trump, who made immigration a cornerstone of his agenda, has indicated he is willing to talk with Democrats about immigration reform legislation as long as it includes some of his own immigration priorities. A court ruling could thrust immigration back into the spotlight ahead of the 2020 election, and there may be a narrow window for legislative activity on the issue.

- Congressionally Directed Spending: There has been talk that the House could look to change its rules to allow for Congressionally Directed Spending to return to appropriation and authorization bills.