The League of California Cities delivers major victories for cities year after year — protecting local control, securing billions of dollars in new funding, and preserving existing city resources. Below are recent highlights of the major wins Cal Cities has achieved on behalf of its member cities.

### BY THE NUMBERS

- **100+** bills/ballot measures passed or defeated to retain local control
- **$7+ Billion** in Federal recovery funding in 2021
- **$13 Billion** in new funding for cities in the past five years
- **Dozens** of lawsuits won protecting local control and city interests

### FEDERAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### LEGISLATIVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 50 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have eroded local control and provided/given new rights to developers</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 899 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have allowed developers to override local authority</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1484 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have limited the ability of cities to impose housing development fees</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 3145 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have arbitrarily capped housing development fees</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1279 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have eroded local government authority around density requirements</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 434 (2020)</td>
<td>Streamlined the Multifamily Housing Program</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 731 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have removed immunity protections for public employees</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 776 (2020)</td>
<td>Would have made peace officer and custodial officer personnel records subject to disclosure</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1286 (2020)</td>
<td>Requires scooter providers to get city permission to operate</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1568 (2019)</td>
<td>Would have linked transportation funding dollars to housing production</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1356 (2019)</td>
<td>Would have forced cities to issue a minimum ratio of cannabis licenses</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 827 (2018)</td>
<td>Would have eroded local land use authority around major transit stops and corridors</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 831 (2018)</td>
<td>Would have prohibited cities from imposing fees on certain housing</td>
<td>Defeated</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 901 (2018)</td>
<td>Protects city from liability for utility-caused fires</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 1 (2017)</td>
<td>$5 billion annually in new transportation funding</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 2 (2017)</td>
<td>Estimated $250 million annually to local government housing programs</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 540 (2017)</td>
<td>Cal Cities-sponsored bill to streamline local planning process and CEQA review</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 649 (2017)</td>
<td>Would have eroded local control by giving away public property to telecom companies</td>
<td>Vetoed</td>
<td>WIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**LEGISLATIVE FUNDING**

- **$300 million** to support local homelessness efforts (2020)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

- **$500 million** in state CARES act funding available to all cities (2020)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

- **$500 million** to support infill development projects and supporting infrastructure (2019)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

- **$500 million** to support the low-income tax credit program that helps construct affordable housing (2019)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

- **$300 million** in disaster preparedness funding (2019)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

- **$500 million** in Homeless Emergency Aid Block Grant (2018)  
  Funding secured  
  WIN

**BALLOT MEASURES**

- **YES on Prop 1** (2018)  
  $4 billion veterans housing bond  
  Passed  
  WIN

- **YES on Prop 2** (2018)  
  $2 billion homeless housing bond  
  Passed  
  WIN

- **NO on Prop 6** (2018)  
  Would have eliminated $5 billion annually in transportation infrastructure funding  
  Defeated  
  WIN

- **YES on Prop 69** (2018)  
  Constitutionally protects transportation funding  
  Passed  
  WIN

- **YES on Prop 22** (2010)  
  Protect local government transportation funding and other revenues  
  Passed  
  WIN

- **NO on Prop 98**  
  Preservation of local government eminent domain and land use authority  
  Defeated Prop 98  
  Passed Prop 99  
  WIN

- **YES on Prop 99** (2008)  
  YES on Prop 99  
  Preservation of local government eminent domain and land use authority  
  Passed Prop 99  
  WIN

- **NO on Prop 90** (2006)  
  Would have eroded city land use authority  
  Defeated  
  WIN

- **YES on Prop 1A** (2004)  
  Protect local government revenues  
  Passed  
  WIN

**LEGAL**

- **Alameda County Deputy Sheriff’s Association v. Alameda County Employees’ Retirement Association** (2020) — CA Supreme Court upheld pension reform legislation intended to address rising city pension costs  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN

- **Wilde v. City of Dunsmuir** (2020) — CA Supreme Court held that municipal water rates and other local utility charges are not subject to referendum  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN

- **City of Redondo Beach v. State of California** (2020) — CA Court of Appeal held that the California Voter Participation Rights Act does not apply to charter cities  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN

- **Homeaway.com vs City of Santa Monica** (2019) — Federal Court of Appeals rejected claims that short-term rental ordinances violate First Amendment  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN

- **T-Mobile West v. City and County of San Francisco** (2019) — CA Supreme Court upheld cities’ local police power to regulate the aesthetics of wireless facilities  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN

- **Cal Fire Local 2881 v. California Public Employees’ Retirement System** (2019) — CA Supreme Court upheld pension reform legislation intended to address rising city pension costs  
  Court agreed with  
  Cal Cities legal position  
  WIN
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